UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,639	07/10/2006	Petrus Martinus Leonardus Beks	F3332(C)	1939
	7590 04/20/201 ATENT GROUP	EXAMINER		
800 SYLVAN AVENUE			TAPOLCAI, WILLIAM E	
AG West S. Wing ENGLEWOOD CLIFFS, NJ 07632-3100)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/20/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentgroupus@unilever.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Comments	10/550,639	BEKS ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	WILLIAM E. TAPOLCAI	3744		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MERICAL STATE OF TH	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 A 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/550,639 Page 2

Art Unit: 3744

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 9-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 2,671,319 to Bortz in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,185,951 to Lane et al. Bortz discloses the claimed invention of a display and dispensing assembly comprising a freezing cabinet A, a housing located externally of the freezer cabinet and a chamber C, D, and E within the housing for storing and dispensing products. The products are stored at a temperature which is clearly disclosed as being higher than the temperature of the freezer cabinet. See, for example, column 2, lines 13-34, which states that the temperatures of the freezer cabinet A can range between 0 and 5 degrees F, while the temperatures in the housing can range between 33 and 38 degrees F. Bortz further discloses the first heat transfer means 18 within the housing, as well as a second heat transfer means surrounding the container 25 and is located inside the freezer cabinet. Thus, Bortz discloses the claimed invention except for the means for circulating a heat transfer fluid through the first and second heat transfer means, and for the tubes passing over a side of the freezer cabinet. Lane et al teaches a display and dispensing assembly which includes a first heat transfer means located outside the display space, a second heat transfer means 12 or 15 located inside the display space, and means including a pump 18 for circulating a heat transfer fluid between the first and second heat transfer means. Thus, it would be obvious to provide Bortz with means

Application/Control Number: 10/550,639

Art Unit: 3744

including a pump for circulating a secondary heat transfer fluid through the first and second heat transfer means, in view of Lane et al, to yield the predictable result of accurately maintaining the separate temperatures in the two zones. The recitation of the assembly being removable is considered to be a mere functional limitation and not a positive structural limitation. Furthermore, the assembly of Lane et al is considered to inherently be removable. Also, the location of the first and second heat transfer means being connected by tubes that pass over a side of the freezer cabinet is considered to be a matter of obvious design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art. One of ordinary skill in the refrigeration art would be able to locate the tubes in any configuration desired, without undue experimentation. No criticality or unexpected results are seen or have been disclosed for the recitation of the connecting tubes being located over a side of the cabinet. The recited temperatures are also considered to be matters of obvious choice to one of ordinary skill in the refrigeration art, as any desired temperature can be achieved by the skilled operation of the refrigeration system.

Page 3

3. Claims 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bortz in view of Lane et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,524,453 to James, newly cited. Bortz in view of Lane et al discloses the claimed invention except for the second heat transfer means comprising a case of pipework around a case of a thermally conductive eutectic material. James teaches a refrigeration system comprising a first system 3, 14-17, a second system 10-12, and a case that includes a eutectic material, as taught in column 3, lines 16-19. Thus, it would be obvious to modify Bortz in view of Lane et al with a eutectic material, in view of James,

Application/Control Number: 10/550,639 Page 4

Art Unit: 3744

to yield the predictable result of preventing contamination of the fluids in the two systems.

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

5. With respect to the limitation of the connecting tubes passing over a side wall of the freezer cabinet, this is seen not only as a matter of obvious choice as far as where the tubes are located. It is also considered to be a step backward in the art, as far as the tubes being exposed to damage from users accessing the display case.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to WILLIAM E. TAPOLCAI whose telephone number is (571)272-4814. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl J. Tyler can be reached on (571)272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,639 Page 5

Art Unit: 3744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/WILLIAM E. TAPOLCAI/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744

wet April 15, 2010